

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1369 CUTTACK, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 2006 / BHADRA 30, 1928

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 18th August 2006

No. 7658—li/1(BH)-20/2002(Pt.)-LE.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 27th May 2006, in Industrial Dispute Case No. 99 of 2002 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of Abas Kumar Das, Contractor, M/s Modern Services, Manikhamb, Dist. Balasore/The Factory Manager, M/s Birla Tyres, Chhanapur, Dist. Balasore and its workman Shri Susanta Kumar Behera, C/o Birla Tyres Workers Union, Proof Road, Panchupada, Dist. Balasore was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 99 OF 2002

Dated the 27th May 2006

Present :

Shri P. K. Sahoo, O.S.J.S. (Jr. Branch)
Presiding Officer, Labour Court
Bhubaneswar.

Between :

Shri Abas Kumar Das, Contractor
M/s Modern Services, Manikhamb
Dist. Balasore.

.. First Party—Management

The Factory Manager
M/s Birla Tyres, Chhanapur
Dist. Balasore.

And

Shri Susanta Kumar Behera
C/o Birla Tyres workers Union
Proof Road, Panchupada
Dist. Balasore.

.. Second Party—Workman

Appearances :

For both the Parties

.. None

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department in exercise of powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of Section 12, read with clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following dispute for adjudication vide their Order No. 10109-LE., dated the 23rd august 2002 :—

“Whether the action of M/s Modern Services a Contractors Estt. engaged in M/s Birla Tyres Chhanapur, Balasore in refusing employment to Shri susanta Kumar Behera, Contract Labourer with effect from the 11th April 1999 is legal and/or justified ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?”

2. The concerned workman are absent on repeated calls. No steps taken on his behalf. The management are also absent. The case is posted to today for hearing. No prayer is also made by the either party to adjourn the case to some other date for hearing. On perusal of the case record, it is seen that, the workman is absent since the 26th October 2005. The concerned workman is also absent today. Hence a No dispute Award possible is passed accordingly.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. K. SAHOO
27-5-2006
Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Bhubaneswar.

P. K. SAHOO
27-5-2006
Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Bhubaneswar.

By order of the Governor
N. C. RAY
Under-Secretary to Government